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Abstract—High-resolution imaging through walls and other materials using microwave signals serves amongst other applications in the rapid detection of human maneuvering, rescue missions in collapsed buildings, and target feature extraction. While narrowband Doppler radar in the millimeter-wave or infrared spectrum can provide good resolution through clothing and packaging, penetration through denser sheetrock, plaster, and brick requires operation below 10 GHz; this band however yields poor resolution. As an alternative, Ultra-Wideband radar operating in this band boosts the bandwidth which translates into fine range resolution; still it requires an aperture length of several meters for comparable cross-range resolution. The associated cost and portability in realizing such an aperture through antenna arrays or fixed-length scanners have limited their lengths to the order of 1 meter in prototype systems to date. In this work, we propose a novel aperture taking form as a variable-length scanner or mobile robot. The wide dynamic range of our system coupled with its unrestricted aperture length allows us to generate high-resolution images up to a range of 8 meters or more.

Index Terms—Synthetic aperture radar

I. INTRODUCTION

High-resolution imaging through obstacles such as walls, doors, and other visually opaque materials using microwave signals is considered a powerful tool in both military and commercial applications including the rapid detection of human maneuvering, rescue missions in avalanches or collapsed buildings, target feature extraction, and surveillance and reconnaissance. While narrowband Doppler radar in the millimeter-wave or infrared spectrum has been shown to provide good resolution through clothing and packaging [1], penetration through denser materials like fiberglass, wood, sheetrock, plaster, brick, and concrete blocks requires operation below 10 GHz [2], [3]; this band however yields poor resolution, limiting application.

Ultra-Wideband (UWB) signals are characterized by a bandwidth greater than 500 MHz or one exceeding 20% the center frequency of radiation [4]. The advent of practical high-speed sampling and pulse generation in recent years, coupled with the approval of the FCC unlicensed band from 3.1-10.6 GHz in 2002, has fed the commercial success of UWB technology in fast-rate communications and precision ranging. This success has however obscured its potential as an alternative to Doppler radar for through-the-wall imaging: UWB compensates for the significant drop in center frequency by boosting the bandwidth which translates into fine range resolution. UWB also offers immunity to interference and low probability of interception, of particular interest in military applications.

Prototype UWB radar systems [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10] employing bandwidths from 0.5 GHz up to 6.4 GHz can achieve fine spatial resolution, but the cost and portability of the antenna arrays or fixed-length scanners in realizing the synthetic aperture limit its length to the order of 1 meter. This in turn limits the range to the same order, making the systems more suitable for target feature extraction in ground-penetrating radar or terrain profiling [11], [12], [13]; otherwise if geared towards applications like target identification through foliage must sacrifice cross-range resolution for longer range [1], [14]. An UWB radar system for through-the-wall imaging with both long range and fine resolution requires an aperture length on the order of several meters [15]. In this work, we propose a novel variable-length scanner with monostatic antennas mounted on a platform with wheels, or mobile robot. The high dynamic range coupled with the unrestricted aperture length of the robot allows us to generate high-resolution images up to 8 meters or more.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II introduces the Ultra-Wideband radar model together with the spatial and frequency scans used for measurement. The subsequent section details the design of the monostatic measurement system realized through a vector analyzer coupled to a mobile robot, followed in Section IV by a migration procedure to transform the measurements into a two-dimensional image. The results Section V shows a number of images generated in different environments, and the last section summarizes our work.

II. ULTRA-WIDEBAND RADAR

A radar image of the objects in an environment can be generated by transmitting a signal and measuring the round-trip time of its arrivals reflected off the objects and returned to the receiver. Fig. 1(a) illustrates a spatial scan using our radar system: we sample the cross-range $x$ of aperture length $X$ with interval $\Delta x$, recording the round-trip arrival time $t$ of the closest object at each position $x_p$, $p = 1..P$, $P = \frac{X}{\Delta x} + 1$. As the signal travels at the speed of light $c$, the time can be halved and converted to the range

$$z = \frac{c \cdot t}{2}. \quad (1)$$

The radar system effectively measures the temporal response

$$h(t, x_p, z = 0) = \sum_k a_k \delta(t - t_k) \quad (2)$$
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of the channel between the transmitter and receiver collocated at \((x_p, z = 0)\). It is composed from multipath arrivals indexed through \(k\), where \(t_k\) denotes the arrival time and the complex amplitude \(a_k\) accounts for both attenuation and phase shift in propagation \([16]\).

The temporal response has a frequency response

\[
H(f, x_p, z = 0) = \sum_k a_k e^{-j2\pi f t_k},
\]

suggesting that the channel can be characterized through a frequency scan: we sample \(H(f, x_p, z = 0) = Y(f)\) with interval \(\Delta f\) in the band of bandwidth \(B\) and center frequency \(f_c\), emitting tones \(X(f)\) from the transmitter and then measuring \(Y(f)\) at the receiver. Measuring the channel in the frequency domain offers the advantages of wider dynamic range, higher mean power, and lower noise figure over transmitting a UWB pulse and recording the temporal response directly \([11]\).

III. SYSTEM DESIGN

A. System parameters

Range resolution \(\delta z\): The range resolution of our radar system depends on its ability to discriminate arrivals reflected from both target and surrounding objects. This is achieved when the arrivals are separated in time by at least a pulse width. From (1), a pulse of duration \(\delta t\) corresponds to a range increment of \(\delta z = \frac{c \delta t}{f}\). Then a transmitted spectrum \(X(f)\) with unit amplitude and zero phase transforms to the time domain as a sinc pulse with width \(\delta t = \frac{1}{2B}\) \([17]\). So the range resolution of the system is \(\delta z = \frac{c}{2B}\), or 2.5 cm for \(B = 6\) GHz.

Frequency sample interval \(\Delta f\): The discreteness of the spectrum \(Y(f)\) means that the received sinc pulses are actually periodic with interval \(T = \frac{1}{\Delta f}\) \([17]\). Choosing \(\Delta f = 1.25\) MHz allows for a maximum multipath spread \(T\) of 800 ns which proves sufficient throughout all tested environments for the arrivals to subside and avoid time aliasing between intervals.

Cross-range resolution \(\delta x\): A synthetic aperture reduces the real beamwidth of an antenna to provide a finer cross-range resolution. The synthetic beamwidth \(\beta\) of our radar system in Fig. 1(b) is equal to \(\frac{c}{4f X}\) \([15]\). For small angles as in our case, the chord length is approximately equal to \(\beta \cdot z\) \([15]\), which together with the range resolution \(\delta z\) bounds the shaded resolution cell. As the chord length depends on \(z\), the maximum range \(Z\) sets the (worst) cross-range resolution \(\delta x = \frac{Z \delta z}{2}\). In our system, we assume an aperture length equal to the maximum range in which all the reflectors lie; so for \(X = Z\), the cross-range resolution depends only on the center frequency and is 3 cm for \(f_c = 5\) GHz. Hence we have designed an UWB radar system with comparable resolution in both range and cross-range.

Cross-range sample interval \(\Delta x\): In order for the radar system to detect an object, it must lie within the real beamwidth of the antennas. As the beam extends from zero at antenna, there is little coverage at short range. The real beamwidth of our antennas is about 30\(^\circ\), so at a range of 0.5 m the chord length or coverage is about 0.26 m. Then in designing a system to cover the whole scan length for ranges in excess of 0.5 m in reference to Fig. 1(b), the cross-range sample space \(\Delta x\) should be less than 26 cm.

B. System hardware

Fig. 2 displays the block diagram (a) and photograph (b) of our radar system. The vector network analyzer (VNA) controls the entire system, alternating between spatial and frequency scans. The Tx and Rx antennas are mounted with 47 cm vertical separation on a wooden post (sturdy yet minimizes reflection) attached to the base of the mobile platform; their midpoint sits at 1.5 m (half the average ceiling height). The RS232 serial interface of the VNA serves to reposition the robot through an 802.11b wireless communication link. There is no transmission on the link during a frequency scan to avoid interference at 2.4 GHz. The two fixed axles of the robot permit only rectilinear (forward and reverse) motion with 1 cm step granularity and less than 0.2\% tolerance in the off-axis. The coaxial cable enables \(\pm 6\) m translation of the robot from the VNA for a combined maximum aperture length of 12 m without displacing the latter.

\(^{1}\)The actual synthetic beamwidth depends on the tilt of the beam not necessarily perpendicular to the scan axis as shown in the figure.
Most radar systems employ directional antennas as they can irradiate reflectors only in the target direction, both to maximize emission power and prevent scattering from ambient objects or walls in other directions, especially behind the robot. We chose the log-periodic antenna over the horn antenna due to its low cost, more stable radiation pattern across our band, higher gain at 8 GHz compared to 2 GHz to compensate for frequency dispersion, and lighter weight (0.25 kg), making it more practical for our mobile platform which supports a maximum weight of 5 kg. While they tend to have greater velocity characteristics of the antennas on a flat open field with dimensions exceeding 100 m × 100 m reduced ambient multipath to a single ground bounce which we removed by placing electromagnetic absorbers on the ground between the antennas. We pointed the antennas towards each other and separated them by a distance of 1.5 m to avoid the near-field effects.

During the frequency scan, the VNA emits a series of tones with frequency \( f \) at Port 1 and measures the relative amplitude and phase \( S^{21}_p(f) \) with respect to Port 2, providing automatic phase synchronization between the two ports. The signal radiates at approximately 1 dBm from the Tx antenna; when it returns to the receiver, the low-noise amplifier (LNA) boosts the signal above the noise floor of Port 2 before feeding it back. The absorber placed between the antennas reduces the strength of the direct-path arrival which would otherwise saturate the LNA; the absorber below the receiver antenna reduces any reflections off the floor. Transmitting at greater than 1 dBm would also saturate the LNA for reflectors closer than 0.5 m, so to compensate we scan at the minimum \( \Delta x = 1 \) cm to increase the total received power summed from each scan position. The dynamic range of the system was computed as 140 dB according to [18] for an IF bandwidth of 1 kHz and a SNR of 15 dB at the receiver. The \( S^{21}_p(f) \)-parameter of the network in Fig. 2(a) can be expressed as a product of the cable, the \( Tx \)-antenna, the propagation channel, the \( Rx \)-antenna, and the LNA as

\[
\begin{align*}
S^{21}_p(f) &= H_{cab}(f) \cdot H_{T x}(f) \cdot H(f, x_p, z=0) \cdot H_{L N A}(f) \cdot H_{R x}(f) \\
&= H_{cab}(f) \cdot H_{T x}(f) \cdot H_{R x}(f) \cdot H(f, x_p, z=0) \cdot H_{L N A}(f). \\
&= H_{cab}(f) \cdot H_{T x}(f) \cdot H_{R x}(f) \cdot H(f, x_p, z=0) \cdot H_{L N A}(f). \\
\end{align*}
\]

The frequency response \( H \) is extracted by individually measuring the transmission responses \( H_{cab}, H_{ant}, \) and \( H_{L N A} \) in advance and deembedding them from (4). Measuring the characteristics of the antennas on a flat open field with dimensions exceeding 100 m × 100 m reduced ambient multipath to a single ground bounce which we removed by placing electromagnetic absorbers on the ground between the antennas. We pointed the antennas towards each other and separated them by a distance of 1.5 m to avoid the near-field effects.

IV. IMAGE GENERATION

A procedure known as migration generates a two-dimensional image from the measured frequency response in (3) through the Phase-Shift Method [19]. The latter provides more accurate results than the alternative Kirchhoff and F-K Methods and also lends most readily to varying propagation velocity\(^2\) [20]. The first step is to convert the response into a wavefield \( H^2(f, k_x, z=0) \) through the Fourier Transform from the spatial domain \( x \) to the wavenumber domain \( k_x \)

\[
H^2(f, k_x, z=0) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} H(f, x_p, z=0)e^{-jk_xx_p}. \tag{5}
\]

The method proceeds by propagating the wavefield downward to \( z > 0 \) by adding the appropriate phase shift in \( z \) through the Fourier kernel

\[
H^2(f, k_x, z) = H^2(f, k_x, z=0)e^{-jk_zz}, \tag{6}
\]

where \( k_z \) denotes the wavenumber in the \( z \) direction. Since the wavefield obeys the dispersion relation of the scalar wave equation [15]

\[
k_z(f, k_x) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{2\pi f}{c}\right)^2 - k_x^2}, \tag{7}
\]

it serves to distinguish \( H^2 \) as a wavefield from an arbitrary function and so can be used to infer information on \( z \) by

\(^2\)In ground penetrating radar applications for example, the signal slows down with respect to free space as it propagates through soil.
substitution into (6). Note that $k_z$ now is a function of the measured quantities $f$ and $k_x$ (through $x$).

Once the wavefield has been propagated to $z$, the complete frequency response can be recovered through the Inverse Fourier Transform of $H^2(f, k_x, z)$ from $k_z$ back to $x$

$$H(f, x, z) = \Delta x \int_0^{\Delta x} H^2(f, k_x, z)e^{jk_x x} dx. \tag{8}$$

Similarly the complete temporal response can be recovered from $H(f, x, z)$ as

$$h(t, x, z) = \frac{\Delta f}{B} \sum_{l=1}^{\Delta x} H(f, x, z)e^{\jmath 2\pi ft}, \tag{9}$$

where $f = f_r - \frac{n}{2} + l \cdot \Delta f$.

Instead of the actual spatial scan described in Section II, consider separating the transmitter and receiver in a virtual scan in which the transmitter moves along the contours of the reflectors rather than along the cross-range. The Exploding Reflector Concept [15] maintains that the temporal response measured along the cross-range both in the actual and virtual scans is the same, the only difference being that the actual time comprises both the forward and return travel from the transmitter, off the reflector, and back to the receiver while the virtual time comprises only the return time from the reflector to the receiver. Then an image of the reflectors can be generated by examining the temporal response $h(t, x, z)$ at $t = 0$ to visualize the reflectors as they “explode.” To compensate for the forward travel time, it suffices to half the propagation velocity by replacing $\frac{n}{2}$ in (7). See [15] for implementation details.

V. RESULTS

This section displays four images generated from measurements taken in different environments using our radar system. As explained above, the actual image is recovered from the amplitude of the impulse response viewed at $t = 0$, or $|h(t = 0, x, z)|$ from (9), and plotted as range $z$ versus cross-range $x$.

Fig. 3(a) shows a radar image generated from a 5-meter scan of four objects mounted on tripods and placed directly in front of the antennas at a height within their field of view. The red curves mark the ground-truth contours of two small ($D = 16$ cm) and large ($D = 40$ cm) cylinders, centered at 2.3 m and 3.6 m respectively, and two small ($L = 30$ cm) and medium ($L = 48$ cm) plates, the latter on a 30° slant, placed at 4.6 m and 5.7 m. The medium plate appears more faint in the image than the small plate, despite its closer range and size, because the slant deflects the arrivals from the receiver, but its shape is nevertheless distinct. This experiment demonstrates the ability of the mobile robot to clearly discriminate with high resolution the irradiated contours of the reflectors in a scene, even at long range. The apparent noise in the image is actually due to reflections from the lights and beams of the removable ceiling. Note the sheetrock backwall at 6 m from the scan plane: reflectors at the center of the scan appear within the real beamwidth of the antennas at most positions during the scan, generating the greatest total receiver power from the object such that it appears strongest in the image; this explains why the aluminum studs within the backwall are visible while those at the far ends lie below the dynamic range of the system. Rather in Fig. 3(b), a 3-meter scan of same wall type taken at the close range of 0.75 m clearly reveals the regular spacing of the stud clusters every 16” (40.6 cm) as well as the two layers of the sheetrock wall. The small and large plates are also visible at 1.6 m and 2.3 m respectively.

The segment displayed in Fig. 3(b) actually makes up the bump-in of the extended wall shown in Fig. 3(c) captured from a much longer 9-meter scan, however from about 3 meters away; in fact, the identical stud pattern can be recognized indexed as 5 to 8 meters on the cross-range. Note the small cylinder centered at 2.2 m in line-of-sight as well as the reflectors placed on the other side of the wall: the side of a thin bookcase ($L = 20$ cm) and the small plate both at 3.7 m, the medium plate at 5.4 m, and a large plate ($L = 85$ cm) at 7 m. The scan also reveals the presence of two metal ducts ($L = 30$ cm) inside the left side of the wall. The wall seems to bend as the studs stray from the ground-truth red curve most noticeably after 6 m on the cross-range. This stems from the finite tolerance of the robot wheel axle which deviates the scan from a perfect straight line. The deviation is only observable in long scans such as this.

Fig. 3(d) displays the radar image of a plaster wall with wooden studs generated from a 6-meter scan. Plaster is more reflective than sheetrock and so the wall is seen more clearly in this image, and as expected the dielectric studs are not visible at all. The small cylinder is centered at 1.9 m in line-of-sight while the large cylinder is centered behind the wall at 3.9 m along with the small and medium plates at 3.5 m and the large plate at 5.5 m. As applies to the slanted plate in Fig. 3(a), cylinders deflect the arrivals from the receiver, and so the contour of the large cylinder seems faint with respect to the medium plate roughly the same size and at roughly the same range.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have designed and built a novel Ultra-Wideband radar system with synthetic aperture realized through a variable-length scanner or mobile robot. The wide dynamic range of the system coupled with its unrestricted aperture length allows us to generate high-resolution through-the-wall images up to a range of 8 meters. The results are substantiated through a set of images generated from measurements taken in different environments through sheetrock walls with aluminum studs and plaster walls with wooden studs. The images clearly show the wall detail including stud placement as well as the contours of circular and flat objects both in the foreground and background.
(a) Reflectors in line-of-sight and wall in background.

(b) Close-wall scan showing aluminum studs with two plate reflectors on opposite side of wall.

c) 9-meter scan of sheetrock wall with aluminum studs showing wall bump-in and reflectors on both sides of wall.

(d) Plaster wall with wooden studs with reflectors on both sides of wall.

Fig. 3. Images generated from our UWB radar system.
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